

ISE



#### Data-Flow-Sensitive Fault-Space Pruning for the Injection of Transient Hardware Faults

Oskar Pusz, Christian Dietrich, Daniel Lohmann

June 22, 2021













# SRA The Fault Space

l ( Leibniz i o 2 Universität i o 4 Hannover

- Fault injection campaign for a given program (execution)
  - **FM**: Uniformly-distributed soft errors in registers and memory
  - **Goal:** Quantify the failure-behavior of a single program execution.





- **FM**: Uniformly-distributed soft errors in registers and memory
- **Goal:** Quantify the failure-behavior of a single program execution.





- Fault injection campaign for a given program (execution)
  - **FM**: Uniformly-distributed soft errors in registers and memory
  - **Goal:** Quantify the failure-behavior of a single program execution.



Plan and inject!

Record a fault-free execution of the program-under-test.





- Fault injection campaign for a given program (execution)
  - **FM**: Uniformly-distributed soft errors in registers and memory
  - **Goal:** Quantify the failure-behavior of a single program execution.



- Plan and inject!
  - Record a fault-free execution of the program-under-test.
  - Inject every memory location in each processor cycle.





- Fault injection campaign for a given program (execution)
  - **FM**: Uniformly-distributed soft errors in registers and memory
  - **Goal:** Quantify the failure-behavior of a single program execution.



- Plan and inject!
  - Record a fault-free execution of the program-under-test.
  - Inject every memory location in each processor cycle.
  - Wait.... (40 injections)





# SRA Fault Space Pruning

- Def-Use Pruning
  - **Observation**: Faults between read/write events have equivalent behavior
  - Faults only become active on a read; a write makes it benign.
  - Select one *fault-injection pilot* for each equivalence interval





# Fault Space Pruning

- Def-Use Pruning
  - **Observation**: Faults between read/write events have equivalent behavior
  - Faults only become active on a read; a write makes it benign.
  - Select one *fault-injection pilot* for each equivalence interval



- Significantly reduces number of injections (40  $\rightarrow$  24), but...
  - Equivalences are only formed horizontally, not vertically.
  - Some instructions mask errors or only propagate them.

### In a nutshell: Data-flow-Sensitive Pruning



#### Basic principle

As long as an single-bit error does not escalate to a multi-bit error or becomes visible, we can extend the equivalence set.

### RA In a nutshell: Data-flow-Sensitive Pruning



#### Basic principle

As long as an single-bit error does not escalate to a multi-bit error or becomes visible, we can extend the equivalence set.



- Golden run is one path through the program
  - **Knowledge**: instructions, register values, instruction semantic
  - $\rightarrow~$  We can calculate masking and propagation behavior.

# SRA Step 1: Build a data-flow graph





- Directed graph of operations (blue) and operands (yellow)
  - All values and operations are known from the golden run
  - Artificial  $\varepsilon$ -nodes model the influence of read events

# SRA Step 1: Build a data-flow graph





- Directed graph of operations (blue) and operands (yellow)
  - All values and operations are known from the golden run
  - Artificial  $\varepsilon$ -nodes model the influence of read events
- Choosing read or final value nodes for injection leads to Def-Use pilots

# SRA Step 2: Form local fault equivalences





- Error propagation of a single instruction
  - Assumption: Exactly one input bit is faulty
  - Combine instruction semantic and operand values

# SRA Step 3: Propagate equivalences globally





- One FI symbol per operand bit
  - All occurrences are equivalent
  - Goal: Propagate symbols

# SRA Step 3: Propagate equivalences globally





- One FI symbol per operand bit
  - All occurrences are equivalent
  - Goal: Propagate symbols
- Propagation Phase
  - readers  $= 0 \rightarrow$  mark benign
  - readers =  $1 \rightarrow \text{propagate back}$
  - readers  $> 1 \rightarrow$  do nothing

# SRA Step 3: Propagate equivalences globally





- One FI symbol per operand bit
  - All occurrences are equivalent
  - Goal: Propagate symbols
- Propagation Phase
  - readers = 0  $\rightarrow$  mark benign
  - readers =  $1 \rightarrow \text{propagate back}$
  - readers  $> 1 \rightarrow$  do nothing
- Mask and Plan
  - Operation can mask faults
  - One injection per symbol

## **Evaluation:** MiBench and Microbenchmarks



	#Faults [10 <sup>6</sup> ]	Def-Use #Inj. [10 <sup>4</sup> ]	DFPrune #Inj. [10 <sup>4</sup> ]	Δ Inj. [%]
mi/BC	70.33	222.40	181.43	-18.42
mi/BFD	1894.82	331.38	295.95	-10.69
mi/BFE	1880.82	326.93	292.97	-10.39
mi/QSORT	1623.90	270.58	234.31	-13.40
mi/RDD	3506.17	397.60	345.37	-13.13
mi/RDE	3457.59	397.99	351.90	-11.58
mi/SHA	242.63	252.79	219.74	-13.07
$\mu$ /FIB	1.15	8.87	7.56	-14.78
$\mu$ /LSUM	0.02	0.26	0.26	0.00
$\mu$ /MIXED	0.03	0.45	0.40	-11.83
$\mu/$ QSort	0.18	1.27	1.22	-4.36
$\mu/$ QSortIter	1.20	4.23	3.88	-8.18





- Def-Use Pruning is one-dimensional
  - Equivalences are only formed along the time axis
  - Instruction can mask errors benign or propagate them
- DFPrune: Data-Flow-Sensitive Fault Space Pruning
  - Faults are equivalent as long as the error does not escape!
  - Propagate FI Symbols on the Data-Flow Graph
  - Instruction-local Fault Equivalences
- DFPrune reduces the number of required injections
  - Between 10 and 18 percent reduction for MiBench
  - Reductions across all failure classes
  - At least as good as Def-Use Pruning